Water Footprint of Volkswagen Passenger Cars - Results and Challenges

Markus Berger, Ruud van der Ent, Vanessa Bach, Korbinian Brochnow, Matthias Finkbeiner

50th LCA Discussion Forum: Zurich, 4 December 2012



Technische Universität Berlin Department of Environmental Technology Chair of Sustainable Engineering

Water Footprint of Volkswagen Passenger Cars

Introduction

- Need for water grows twice as fast as the world population
 - Several water footprint methods are available, but hardly tested

 \rightarrow Sustainable water management is urgently needed!







Case studies



- Daimler: Water footprint of production site Sindelfingen
- EuroCopper: Water footprint of copper sheet and tube
- Siemens: Water footprint of seawater desalination plants
- Volkswagen: Water footprint of passenger cars
- Neoperl: Water footprint of flow regulator
- German EPA: Water footprint of milk production

M. Berger, J. Warsen, S. Krinke, V. Bach, M. Finkbeiner (2012): Water footprint of European cars: potential impacts of water consumption along automobile life cycles. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 46 (7), 4091-4099





Aim



- How much water is consumed in a car's life cycle?
 - Polo 1.2 TDI
 - Golf 1.6 TDI
 - Passat 2.0 TDI



• What is the impact of this water consumption?









- Geographical differentiation of water consumption
 - Dividing total water consumption to shares of material groups
 - Assigning material specific water consumption to geographical regions based on import mixes, location of production sites and industrial sectors (top down)
 - Example polymers:
 - Water consumption of crude oil production, refinery, polymerisation, component fabrication
 - 2. Regionalisation based on European import mixes of crude oil, location of refineries, polymerization plants, and of plastic component producers
- Application of selected impact assessment methods









- > 90% of water consumption in production phase (fossil fuels)
- Steel & polymeres are the dominant material groups (> 60%)
- High specific contribution of special metals (> 20%), particularly precious metals in catalysts (PGM)



51.700 l



62.400 l



82.900 l



Results - inventory



• Water consumption in more than 40 countries, only 10% at production site





Results - impact assessment



 Ranking of cars changes in impact assessment as water consumptions in different countries is assessed differently; especially relevant is PGM production

in South Africa

Water Footprint of Volkswagen Passenger Cars

SUSTAINABLE

Results - impact assessment



 Damages resulting from water consumption relatively low compared to resource consumption, emissions, etc. (1-7% of total production damage)

SUSTAINABL

Challenges



- High uncertainties in the reported water data in inventory data bases
- Additional uncertainties due to geographical differentiation in the applied topdown approach
- The results of impact assessment are strongly sensitive to the location of water consumption, i.e. the quality of geographical differentiation is crucial.
 - → Spatially explicit water flows are needed in LCA databases (bottom-up)
- Some methods require additional data (source, quality, time). However, high resolution inventory data hardly available, especially if complex background systems are involved
- Trade-off:



M. Berger, M. Finkbeiner (2012): Methodological challenges in volumetric and impact oriented water footprints. Journal of Industrial Ecology (in press), DOI: 10.1111/j.1530-9290.2012.00495.x

New water footprint method: inventory



Water consumption:

$$WC = \sum_{i} FW_{i} - WW_{i} - ER_{i}$$

- Evaporation recycling (ER) is determined by basin internal evaporation recycling (BIER)
 - \rightarrow denotes fraction of evapo(transpi)ration and synthetically created water that is returned to the originating watershed via precipitation

$$ER_i = E_i \cdot BIERi$$

SUSTAINABI

New water footprint method: inventory



- Basin Internal Evaporation Recycling (BIER):
 - Continental evaporation recycling within radii of 25, 50, 75, 100, 200, and 500 km is calculated for each raster point based on van der Ent et al. (2011)



- Depending on the size of the watershed, evaporation recycling ratios are assigned to the watershed (by averaging values of the raster within the watershed):
 - $A_{watershed} < 1964 \text{ km}^2 \rightarrow evaporation recycling value of } r = 25 \text{ km} (A = 1964 \text{ km}^2)$
 - 1964 km² < $A_{watershed}$ < 7854 km² \rightarrow value of r = 50 km (A = 7854 km²)
 - $A_{watershed} > 785398 \text{ km}^2 \rightarrow \text{value of } r = 500 \text{ km} (A = 785398 \text{ km}^2)$

...



• Inventory: Basin Internal Evaporation Recycling (BIER)





- Impacts are determined, by multiplying local WC with W_{\perp} corresponding water deprivation index (WDI):
 - Based on consumption-to-availability ratio, relating annual water consumption (AWC) to renewability rate (RR)
 - 2. Add annually usable surface water stocks (SWS $_{au}$) to RR
 - Square RR to consider sensitivity to additional AWC (1/10=10/100=0.1 but 1/10²=0.01; 10/100²=0.001)
 - 4. Implement adjustment factor to account for ground water stocks
 - Implement sensitivity index (SI) to consider vulnerability of population and ecosystems
 - Determine values between 0.01 and 1 by means of a logistic function



$$WDI''''' = \frac{AWC}{RR}$$

AIAIC

$$WDI'''' = \frac{AWC}{RR + SWS_{au}}$$

$$AWC$$

$$WDI''' = \frac{AWC}{(RR + SWS_{au})^2}$$

$$WDI'' = \frac{AWC}{(RR + SWS_{au})^2} \cdot AF_{GWS}$$

$$WDI' = \frac{AWC}{(RR + SWS_{au})^2} \cdot AF_{GWS} \cdot SI$$

$$WDI = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-k \cdot WDI'} \left(\frac{1}{0.01} - 1\right)}$$



• Step 1: AWC and RR WDI'

$$DI''''' = \frac{AWC}{RR}$$

ATATC

- Data for AWC and RR are derived from WaterGap2.2 for more than 10.000 watersheds





• Step 2:
$$WDI'''' = \frac{AWC}{RR + SWS_{au}}$$

- Based on the WaterGAP 2.2 model, effective surface water stocks (SWS_{eff}) are determined for each watershed by:
 - multiplying the area of surface water bodies (A_{SWB}), i.e. lakes and wetlands, with their effective depth (d_{eff})
 - and by adding the volumes of reservoirs (V_{reservoir})

$$SWS_{eff} = \sum_{i} (A_{SWB,i} \cdot d_{eff,i}) + V_{reservoir,i}$$

- The annually usable surface water stocks SWS_{au} are determined as 1% of SWS_{eff}

$$SWS_{au} = \frac{SWS_{eff}}{100}$$



• Step 4:
$$WDI'' = \frac{AWC}{(RR + SWS_{au})^2} \cdot AF_{GWS}$$

- In contrast to surface water stocks, groundwater stocks cannot be quantified only geological structures and annual recharge are known (WHYMAP)
- Correction of scarcity by means of groundwater stock adjustment factors (AF_{GWS}):
 - Major groundwater basin, very high recharge (> 300 mm): 0.900
 - Major groundwater basin, high recharge (100 300 mm): 0.925
 - Complex hydrogeological structure, very high recharge (> 300 mm): 0.950
 - Complex hydrogeological structure, high recharge (100 300 mm): 0.975







• Step 5:
$$WDI' = \frac{AWC}{(RR + SWS_{au})^2} \cdot AF_{GWS} \cdot SI$$

 Development of a sensitivity index (SI) to account for vulnerability of human health (SI_{hh}) and ecosystems (SI_{es}) to water stress

 $SI = SI_{hh} + SIes$

 Sensitivity of human health measured by human development index (HDI)

 $SI_{hh} = 1 - HDI$

- Sensitivity of ecosystems is measured by share of net primary production which is limited by water availability (NPP_{wat-lim}) (Pfister et al. 2009)
 - → correlation between net primary production and



vascular plant species biodiversity was revealed Water Footprint of Volkswagen Passenger Cars





- WDI is set to 1 automatically, in:
 - Areas with low rainfall (< 200 mm/a)
 - Areas with saline groundwater (> 5 g/l TDS) and rainfall < 400 mm/a
 - This avoids artifacts that regions are considered not water scarce, simply as there is no consumption due to low population density and absence of agriculture/industry







• Impact assessment: Characterization factors under refinement





Thanks for your attention!

markus.berger@tu-berlin.de



Technische Universität Berlin Department of Environmental Technology Chair of Sustainable Engineering