

Prospective LCA – Does the background matter?

Current trends and future opportunities

Christian Bauer, Laboratory for Energy Systems Analysis | Technology Assessment

LCA DF89, Zurich, Feb 5th, 2025

Some history of scenario-based prospective LCA





Method

State-of-the-art: prospective background LCI databases



- + technological granularity
- + env. burdens beyond GHG
- static
- geographically focused

- + consistent future scenarios
- + consistent global coverage
- limited env. burdens
- low technological granularity

- + combination of advantages of "both worlds"
- associated uncertainties

PSI



Integrated Assessment Models (IAM)







IAM assess the interactions between human and natural systems in future scenarios

IAM contain stylized representations of:

- Energy system
- Agricultural system
- Climate and land systems

IAM bridge the Science <-> Policy interface

- Scenario Analysis: What if?
- What are the drivers or constraints of change?
- How do technology and policy choices lead to different outcomes?



Integrated Assessment Models (IAM)



- Global regionalized models that consider broad socio-economic and technological developments and their consequences over time
- Used to inform policymakers on the interdependencies between future economic, technological and social developments and climate change

Shared-Socioeconomic Pathways (SSPs) —





Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs)



(~1.5 °C warming by 2100)

IAM: Socio-economic and climate constraints (examples)





6

PSI

IAM: What elements useful for LCA do scenarios contain?





premise: workflow for linking LCI and IAM scenarios $\rightarrow p$ LCA \gg PSI



PSI Centers for Nuclear Engineering and Sciences & Energy and Environmental Sciences | Technology Assessment group

premise: some features and statistics



- Integrates projections from:
 - Power
 - Fuels
 - Biomass
 - Steel

- Cement
- Transport
- Links to 4 different IAM
 - 2 more to come
- Covers 23 IAM scenarios
 - Covering all SSPs
 - Combined with 3 RCPs

- Cited in >200 studies since 2022
- Used in >100 studies
- Mostly usedy with Brightway, and more specifically the Superstructure feature of Activity Browser



premise: (additional) prospective LCI (examples)





PV: GaAs, Perovskite, ...



Fuel cell: PEM, AEFC, SOFC, DMFC



Trains: PEM, D, EV, FC

10



PtX fuels



Electrolyzer: PEM, AE, SOE



Chemicals: MeOH, NH3



Biofuels

Batteries: LiB, SiB



Metals: PGM, Li, Co



Trucks: EV, FC

69

CDR: DACCS,

BECCS, biochar



Geothermal, wave power, ...



Cars: EV, FC

- +2'300 datasets
- Mostly peer-reviewed
- Documented
- ei v3.6-3.10

premise: some results



Impacts of 1 kWh electricity (GLO), relative to 2020

- GHG emissions reach zero (consistently)
- Some resource use indicators increase
- Most other indicators decrease, but by how much depends on the IAM and the way their electricity supply becomes fossil-free



premise: sector analysis with incremental databases







- Switzerland and several other countries aim for net zero energy-related GHG emissions by 2050.
- Energy system models (ESMs) analyze longterm pathways toward climate and targets.
- While ESMs excel in energy and economic analysis, they:
 - overlook broader environmental impacts and burden-shifts
 - lack the appropriate technology resolution

13

Research questions:

- What are environmental co-benefits and trade-offs of net zero energy scenarios considering a broader spectrum of environmental indicators?
- Can specific technologies exacerbate those trade-offs, if any?

Approach

Combining ESM with *p*LCA to quantify LCIA indicators for entire transition scenarios
→ new tool "*pathways*" (doi: <u>10.21105/joss.07309</u>)





Net zero scenario - Focus on sustainability

https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4915252/v1

PSI Centers for Nuclear Engineering and Sciences & Energy and Environmental Sciences | Technology Assessment group



Life-cycle perspective:

- Substantial GHG emission reduction, but not to zero
- Minor reduction in PM formation
- Increasing land and water consumption





Demand for critical raw materials (CRM):

- CRM demand increases in general (some exceptions)
- Dependency on technology market shares and future CRM intensities



https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4915252/v1

Prospective LCA: Outlook & future research directions



- Better representation of direct and indirect land use
- Better representation of critical raw materials stocks and flows in scenarios: integrating Material Flow Analysis (MFA), LCA and IAM/ESM, including recycling and other circularity approaches
- Regionalized impact assessment (GIS-based) including prospective LCIA
- Tighter integration between *premise* and IAM/ESM
 - e.g., monetization of environmental impacts and consideration of these externalities in the objective function of IAM/ESM
- Further development of scenario-wide LCA (*pathways*)
 - Filling prospective LCI data gaps (e.g., mining sector)
 - Better representation of Carbon Dioxide Removal (CDR)
 - Better representation of agriculture

Take home messages



- Yes, the background LCI system matters depending on the product system, the background system can generate the (vast) majority of environmental impacts
- Automated ways to generate scenario-specific prospective background LCI databases are indispensable
- *premise* can be considered the "*pseudo-standard*" for generating such background databases using projections from IAM and energy system models
 - builds upon ecoinvent
 - comes with many additional (prospective) LCI
 - is an open-source tool, to which the community can contribute
- *premise* and *pathways* allow for LCA of entire energy/economic systems
- Outlook:

- closing data gaps (new technologies)
- better representation of agriculture

- better representation of CRM
- coupling with MFA



Thanks for your attention!

Any questions?

Contacts: romain.sacchi@psi.ch christian.bauer@psi.ch https://www.psi.ch/de/ta

19

Further information:

premise paper: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112311 premise on github: https://github.com/polca/premise pathways tool: https://joss.theoj.org/papers/10.21105/joss.07309